

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/049,328	05/15/2002	Jay M. Meythaler	UAB-15452/22	3601		
25006	7590 01/15/2004		EXAMINER			
	KRASS, GROH, SPR & CITKOWSKI, PC	JAGOE, DONNA A				
	WOODARD AVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
SUITE 400		1614				
BIRMINGH	AM, MI 48009		DATE MAILED: 01/15/2004	DATE MAILED: 01/15/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/049,328	3	MEYTHALER ET AL.						
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit					
			Donna Jag	joe	1614					
	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appe	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
Period fo		OD DEDLY	OFT T	NEVELOR AMONTHU	C) FDOM					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status										
	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on	.•							
·	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This action is non-final.									
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositi	on of Claims									
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>19-25</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
•	Claim(s) <u>1-18 and 26</u> is/are rejected.									
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	P	. 1 11							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.										
	on Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•								
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign	priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a)L	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 									
13)⊠ A si	see the attached detailed Office action cknowledgment is made of a claim funce a specific reference was include 7 CFR 1.78.	n for a list o or domestic	of the certific priority und	ed copies not received der 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional					
a	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.										
Attachment	t(s)									
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			4) 🔲 Interview Summary (
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P			5)	tent Application (PTO	-152)				

Art Unit: 1614

Election/Restrictions

Page 2

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-18 and 26 is acknowledged. Further the election of the species gamma-aminobutyramide analogs is acknowledged.

Claims 19-25 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR

1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse October 16, 2003.

Claims 1-18 and 26 are presented for examination.

Control of the Control of the Control

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/049,328

Art Unit: 1614

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-18 and 26 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aebisher et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,474,547 A.

The claims are drawn to a method of treating spastic disorders such as spastic dystonia/spastic hypertonia, convulsions, and idiopathic dystonia/tortional dysonia which may be caused by traumatic brain injury, comprising administering to a subject a therapeutically effective amount of a gamma-amino-butyramide analog, administering said compound intrathecally, intraventricularly, by an implantable pump, spinal catheter.

Aebisher et al. teach alleviation of movement disorders associated with Parkinson's and Huntington's diseases comprising administering GABA, GABA agonists and GABA potentiators via implantation of devices which would release said neuroinhibitory compounds into the brain, including the brain nuclei of the subthalamic nucleus, the globus pallidus internus, the substantia nigra pars reticulata, substantia nigra pars compacta, ventrolateral thalamic nucleus and the striatum (column 3, lines 40-67).

Art Unit: 1614

It does not teach specifically spastic disorders such as spastic dystonia/spastic hypertonia, convulsions, and idiopathic dystonia/tortional dysonia, however, the disorders of the prior art such as epilepsy and Parkinson's disease are well known disorders marked by spasticity and convulsions.

Thus, it would have been made obvious to one of ordinary skill in art at the time it was made to treat spastic disorders such as spastic dystonia/spastic hypertonia, convulsions, and idiopathic dystonia/tortional dystonia with analogs of gamma-amino-butyramide motivated by the teachings of Aebisher et al. who teach administration of analogs of GABA for treatment of disorders of spasticity such as Parkinson's and epilepsy.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4 and 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donna Jagoe whose telephone number is (703) 306-5826. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 A.M. - 4:30 P.M..

Art Unit: 1614

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marianne Seidel can be reached on (703) 308-4725. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3230.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Donna Jagoe Patent Examiner Art Unit 1614

Frederick Krass Primary Examiner Art Unit 1614

mel De